

Nicola Döring Technische Universität Ilmenau, Ilmenau, Germany

## **Synonyms**

Barely there clothes; Body-conscious clothes; Body-hugging clothes; Body-revealing clothing/dress/outfit; Fuck me dress; Fuck me shoes; Half naked look; High-slit dress; Low-cut clothing; Mini skirt; Provocative clothing/dress/outfit; Revealing clothing/dress/outfit; Risqué clothing; Scantily clad look; Scanty dress; See-through dress; Seminude look; Sexually suggestive clothing/dress/outfit; Sexy clothing/dress/outfit; Sheer attire; Skimpy attire; Slutty look/outfit; Suggestive clothing; Tight-fitting dress

### **Definition**

Clothing is an umbrella term encompassing a range of items made from natural and synthetic materials (e.g., fur, wool, and polyester) designed to cover various parts of the human body. Clothing serves three main functions (cf. Gilligan, 2010):

- Protective functions: Clothing shields the nude, unfurred human body from cold, sun, insects, and injury (e.g., snow boots, raincoat).
- Social functions: Clothing indicates persistent social categories or situational social roles related to sex/gender, marital status, sexual orientation/identity, class, race/ethnicity, occupation, or religion through specific textile designs, colors, and materials (e.g., bridal gown, police uniform, and priest's robe).
- Psychological functions: Clothing emphasizes
  or conceals symbolically and emotionally
  charged body parts (e.g., genitals, female
  breasts) for the purposes of decoration and display (e.g., penis gourd, push-up bra) or modesty
  and shame (e.g., long undergarments).

Revealing clothing—as a subset of clothing—concerns the psychological and social functions and connects dress with sexuality (Lennon et al., 2017). Revealing clothing is designed and worn more to emphasize rather than conceal the nude body, typically with a sexually suggestive intent and/or effect. Key features include tightness and stretchiness to accentuate body contours, sheer materials for transparency, and strategic cuts to expose significant areas of skin. Such garments may incorporate elements of kink and fetish cultures, like black leather, latex, or very high heels.

The perception and effect of revealing clothing is closely tied to the characteristics of the revealed

body and its conformity to gendered standards of beauty in the Western world, such as thinness with prominent breasts and buttocks and an hourglass silhouette (waist-to-hip ratio) for women and height and muscularity for men (e.g., Talbot, 2023). Wearing revealing clothing on a non-normative body can be a means of publicly challenging traditional body ideals, making visible the diversity of body types and asserting their normalcy, beauty, and desirability (e.g., in the body positivity and fat acceptance movements).

It is important to note that the concept of revealing clothing is often associated with heterosexual women. Many synonyms and slang terms for revealing clothing refer specifically to women's clothing (e.g., "fuck me heels"; "slutty outfit") and imply men or the male gaze as the target. However, revealing and sexually provocative styles are also present in men's fashion (e.g., muscle shirt, mesh shirt) and in the dressing practices of nonbinary and queer people: Gay men's culture has traditionally embraced tight clothing, while lesbian women's culture has tended toward looser, more masculine clothing to distinguish itself from heteronormativity (e.g., Clarke & Turner, 2007). However, a variety of lesbian styles is now recognized, including feminine styles with revealing clothing.

### Introduction

Revealing clothing is relevant to the field of sexual psychology and behavior because its use is associated with sexual expression, perceived sexual intent, and ultimately, sexual behaviors (Lennon et al., 2017). The complex practices of wearing and evaluating revealing clothing in different historical, cultural, and interpersonal contexts can be explained by evolutionary and sociocultural theories that complement each other.

Different empirical methods are used in this field: Interviews (e.g., Pilcher, 2009) and surveys (e.g., Keys & Bhogal, 2018; Moor, 2010) are conducted with wearers and observers of revealing clothing. Furthermore, field experiments (e.g., Guéguen, 2011) as well as laboratory experiments (e.g., Bernard & Wollast, 2019) are used to test

hypotheses on the effects of revealing clothing. Apart from empirical studies with human participants, relevant insights can also be gained from content analyses of documents from the media and public culture such as news reports, advertisements, and social media content (e.g., Anciones-Anguita & Checa-Romero, 2024; Goodin et al., 2011).

Previous research suggests that revealing clothing can be a tool for sexual self-promotion as well as multifaceted nonsexual self-expression, but is also associated with the justification of sexual violence and the collective sexual objectification of girls and women.

## Revealing Clothing as a Tool of Sexual Self-Promotion

From an evolutionary perspective, human behavior is often driven by the search for mates with the goal of reproduction. The most common strategies to increase one's mate value relative to rivals are self-promotion and competitor derogation. Selfpromotion is the practice of emphasizing those characteristics that are most attractive to potential mates. Appearance is one such factor. Appearance enhancement through revealing clothing (that reveals both a fit body and sexual intent) can be understood as a self-promotion strategy typically used by women to attract men in mixed-sex mating contexts (Davis & Arnocky, 2022). Consistent with this theory, revealing clothing is often present in the context of social mixing (e.g., clubs and parties, date nights, dating apps, and social media). In surveys, some women report intentionally dressing to sexually arouse men (Moor, 2010). A field experiment in bars showed that when a woman in suggestive clothing made eye contact with a man, she was approached in less than 5 minutes, while the approach latency for a woman with nonsuggestive clothing was nearly 20 minutes (Guéguen, 2011).

In addition to attracting the attention of potential mates, women in revealing clothing may be identified as rivals by other women and become targets of aggression (e.g., negative gossip, social exclusion, and slut shaming) due to negative

affect (e.g., jealousy, envy) and/or strategic competitor derogation (Keys & Bhogal, 2018). Such antagonism occurs through direct communication, as well as on social media.

From an evolutionary perspective, wearing revealing clothing may be an effective selfpromotion strategy for women to attract men, at the cost of likely antagonism from outperformed female rivals or rejected potential male mates. While most research in this area has focused on women in mixed-sex-mating, some studies suggest that sexual self-promotion through revealing clothing also plays a role in same-sex mating (Davis & Arnocky, 2022). In gay communities, for example, club wear is largely synonymous with revealing clothing. Among heterosexual men, wearing revealing clothing and showing off a toned body (e.g., through a muscle shirt or tightfitting dress shirt) also serves as sexual selfpromotion to outperform rivals and attract female partners because muscularity is a fitness indicator. Research shows that muscular men perceive themselves as sexier to women and report more female sexual partners than less muscular men (Frederick & Haselton, 2007).

# Revealing Clothing as a Tool of Multifaceted Self-Expression

In contrast to the evolutionary explanation of sexual intent and reproductive success, many women explain their decision to wear revealing clothing with other motives unrelated to the search for a mate: Wearing slim-fitting clothes may be an expression of the desire to look good, hot, fit, healthy, and accomplished in a normative way. Wearing a crop top may be a seasonal fashion statement. Wearing a see-through dress can be a celebration of body confidence. Wearing tight yoga pants can be part of a personal health and fitness journey. Wearing a miniskirt in a conservative cultural context and/or at an older age can be a political statement against oppressive gender roles and ageism. Wearing flamboyant and provocative clothing can be an expression of personality and creativity and can sometimes signify gender and sexual diversity. Wearing a miniskirt can be an expression of conformity to the athletic dress code in tennis. Wearing a catsuit can be an expression of admiration for a movie or a celebrity. Revealing clothing can also be a strategic tool used by female influencers and celebrities to generate public attention and scandals that can then be monetized (e.g., infamous "scandalous dresses" and breast-revealing "wardrobe malfunctions").

All of these and other motivations can, individually or in combination, lead people to wear, enjoy, and/or benefit from sexy clothing even when they are not seeking sexual encounters. Several surveys show that women predominantly report nonsexual reasons for wearing revealing clothing (Moor, 2010). Field observations and qualitative interviews have shown that prepubescent girls who dress up in see-through shirts or high heels at home (Pilcher, 2009), roller derby athletes who wear tight uniforms (Beaver, 2016), and women who engage in revealing cosplay (i.e., costume play; Lucas, 2018) emphasize the pleasures of feeling confident, sexy, strong, and empowered through the clothing.

Various sociocultural theories of fashion, body image, body politics, gender and sexual identity, fandom, or the attention economy apply to the wearing of revealing clothing (e.g., Lennon et al., 2017). In line with these theories are the many instances of women complimenting and celebrating other women who present themselves in revealing clothing because they see them not as sexual rivals, but as helpful fashion or fitness inspiration, role models of liberation, empowerment, confidence, and body positivity, or because they share the same cosplay fandom. Women also often defend female wearers of revealing clothing against hostility and call out both "body shaming" and "slut shaming." However, research also shows that male observers in particular often overlook the nuanced and nonsexual motivations behind revealing clothing and mistakenly assume sexual intent (e.g., Moor, 2010). Furthermore, some experiments show that both men and women tend to perceive and evaluate a woman in revealing clothing as more sexual and less intellectually competent compared to a woman in conservative clothing (Smith et al., 2018).

From a sociocultural perspective, wearing revealing clothing can be seen as a multifaceted form of self-expression. In addition to sexual intent, several nonsexual motives can lead people to wear revealing clothing and can even create nonsexual social bonds between wearers. However, some observers seem to disregard the various motives and tend to sexualize the wearers of revealing clothing.

## Revealing Clothing as an Issue of Justification of Sexual Violence

Misattributed sexual intent has often been used as an excuse or justification for sexual violence by men against women. In rape cases in particular, the clothing of the victim is regularly scrutinized both in the court of law and in the court of public opinion. If she wore revealing clothing, it is assumed that she deliberately invited sexual attention and advances from men. The perpetrator of sexual violence can then excuse the act of violence as a mere "misunderstanding" for which he cannot be held solely responsible, since he was essentially tricked into believing that the woman was "sexually available." Research considers the belief that women in revealing clothing are basically "asking for it" to be one of several distinct rape myths (Rerick et al., 2019).

As a result, research on rape myths and rape victim blaming calls for better consent education and the debunking of clothing-related misogynistic beliefs that feed into a so-called *rape culture* in the sense that widespread rape is normalized and excused by factors unrelated to the perpetrators.

# Revealing Clothing as an Issue of Sexual Objectification

Sexual objectification theory asserts that in maledominated societies, girls and women are often viewed and treated as sexual objects, at the expense of their personality, dignity, and humanity (Ward et al., 2023). Collective sexual objectification according to this theoretical framework can be observed in the media and popular culture (e.g. music, film, advertising, social media, and pornography), which predominantly promote girls and women who conform to increasingly sexualized standards of beauty. Against this background, the promotion and use of revealing clothing is problematized as an affirmation of sexual objectification. A content analysis of N = 1920Twitch streams showed that 97% of male streamers presented themselves fully clothed, while 82% of female streamers showed varying degrees of suggestive clothing (Anciones-Anguita & Checa-Romero, 2024). A content analysis of N = 5666 clothing items from webshops for children's clothes found that 29% of girls' clothes were fully or partially sexualized (Goodin et al., 2011). According to the sexual objectification perspective, the wearing of revealing clothing is not so much a self-determined choice by girls and women, but rather a result of gendered norms and expectations in a hypersexualized or even "pornified" society that makes women internalize objectifying norms and engage in selfsexualization.

Public debates and academic research on revealing clothing are closely linked to gender issues, since it is primarily women who are perceived as wearing revealing clothing with the ambivalent consequence of praise for their sexiness and blame for their sluttishness. Feminist evaluations of the woman in revealing clothing are ambivalent (Montemurro & Gillen, 2013): Is she brainwashed by patriarchy to willingly present herself as a mere sexual object for men? Or is she consciously transgressing repressive norms of female modesty and proudly presenting herself as a self-determined sexual subject? Beyond gender, evaluations of provocative clothing and its wearers are linked to other categories of social inequality such as race/ethnicity, class, and sexual minority status.

Research informed by intersectional feminism (e.g., Paasonen et al., 2020) challenges claims of universal sexual objectification and self-objectification that nullify any valuable agency when it comes to clothing choices and sexual expression. The following example from popular culture may illustrate this point: The music video for the song WAP (Wet-Ass Pussy, 2020) features

rappers Cardi B. and Megan Thee Stallion and has gathered more than 500 million views on YouTube. The two voluptuous black women, in highly sexualized clothing and pose, are singing lines such as "Spit in my mouth, look at my eyes. This pussy is wet, come take a dive." But contrary to assumptions of objectification, the video can be understood as symbolizing subjectification: The artists present themselves as confident and sexually demanding actors, telling their supposedly male objects of desire exactly what they want from them. The entire video is sexualized in an agentic and unapologetic way, focused on receiving pleasure and female allyship. Both female rappers do not primarily address men but have a strong fan base of young women. From an intersectional feminist perspective, it is more plausible to read the video featuring powerful artists in revealing clothing as an expression of female sexual agency rather than objectification (Paasonen et al., 2020).

Research rooted in radical feminism rejects sexual presentations and self-presentations of women in revealing clothing as genuinely harmful expressions of objectification and dehumanization by and for men (Ward et al., 2023). Research rooted in intersectional feminism rejects a simple gender binary, points to the diversity of subgroups among women including women who desire women, and emphasizes agency in dress choices and sexual behavior even in societies that have not yet achieved gender equality (Paasonen et al., 2020).

### **Conclusion**

Revealing clothing is a complex phenomenon. It can be a tool of sexual self-promotion and multi-faceted nonsexual self-expression that may foster reproductive success as well as sexual and overall well-being. However, revealing clothing is also associated with the justification of sexual violence and sexual objectification including self-objectification. Previous research has focused more on the harmful rather than the beneficial aspects of revealing clothing and has predominantly focused on female wearers in mixed-sex

contexts. Future research could address the use of revealing clothing in more diverse populations, and provide a more balanced perspective on negative and positive experiences that recognizes girls and women's agency despite conflicting norms regarding appropriate dress.

#### **Cross-References**

- ► Bodily Attractiveness
- **▶** Body Image
- ▶ Breasts: Female Attractiveness
- ► Clothing and Sexual Restriction
- ► Clothing and Sexual Shame
- ► Enhancement of Appearance
- **▶** Evolutionary Perspectives
- **▶** Flirting
- ▶ Gender Roles
- ▶ Intersexual Selection
- ► Intrasexual Competition
- ▶ Makeup
- **▶** Mate Preferences
- ► Mate Value: Signaling
- ► Self-Promotion: Intrasexual Competition
- ► Sex Differences: Mate Preferences
- ► Sexual Attraction
- ► Sexual Double Standards
- ► Veiling

#### References

Anciones-Anguita, K., & Checa-Romero, M. (2024). Sexualized culture on livestreaming platforms: A content analysis of Twitch.tv. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11(1), 257. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02724-z

Beaver, T. D. (2016). Roller derby uniforms: The pleasures and dilemmas of sexualized attire. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, *51*(6), 639–657. https://doi.org/10.1177/1012690214549060

Bernard, P., & Wollast, R. (2019). Why is sexualization dehumanizing? The effects of posture suggestiveness and revealing clothing on dehumanization. *Sage Open*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019828230

Clarke, V., & Turner, K. (2007). Clothes maketh the queer? Dress, appearance and the construction of lesbian, gay and bisexual identities. *Feminism & Psychology*, 17(2), 267–276. https://doi.org/10.1177/0959353507076561

- Davis, A. C., & Arnocky, S. (2022). An evolutionary perspective on appearance enhancement behavior. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 51(1), 3–37. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10508-020-01745-4
- Frederick, D. A., & Haselton, M. G. (2007). Why is muscularity sexy? Tests of the fitness indicator hypothesis. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 33(8), 1167-1183. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0146167207303022
- Gilligan, I. (2010). The prehistoric development of clothing: Archaeological implications of a thermal model. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, 17*(1), 15–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10816-009-9076-x
- Goodin, S. M., Van Denburg, A., Murnen, S. K., & Smolak, L. (2011). "Putting on" sexiness: A content analysis of the presence of sexualizing characteristics in girls' clothing. Sex Roles, 65(1–2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-011-9966-8
- Guéguen, N. (2011). The effect of Women's suggestive clothing on men's behavior and judgment: A field study. *Psychological Reports*, 109(2), 635–638. https://doi.org/10.2466/07.17.PR0.109.5.635-638
- Keys, E., & Bhogal, M. S. (2018). Mean girls: Provocative clothing leads to intra-sexual competition between females. *Current Psychology*, 37(3), 543–551. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12144-016-9536-x
- Lennon, S. J., Adomaitis, A. D., Koo, J., & Johnson, K. K. P. (2017). Dress and sex: A review of empirical research involving human participants and published in refereed journals. *Fashion and Textiles*, 4(1), 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-017-0101-5
- Lucas, C. M. (2018). "This Isn't for you, this is for me": Women in cosplay and their experiences combatting harassment and stigma. Master's thesis, College of Liberal Arts. https://mds.marshall.edu/etd/1145
- Montemurro, B., & Gillen, M. M. (2013). How clothes make the woman immoral: Impressions given off by

- sexualized clothing. *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, 31(3), 167–181. https://doi.org/10.1177/0887302X13493128
- Moor, A. (2010). She dresses to attract, he perceives seduction: A gender gap in the attribution of intent to women's revealing style of dress and its relation to blaming the victims of sexual violence. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, 11(4), 115–127.
- Paasonen, S., Attwood, F., McKee, A., Mercer, J., & Smith, C. (2020). Objectification: On the difference between sex and sexism (1st ed.). https://doi.org/10. 4324/9780429244032
- Pilcher, J. (2009). What not to wear? Girls, clothing and 'showing' the body. *Children & Society, 24*(6), 461–470. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-0860.2009.00239.x
- Rerick, P. O., Livingston, T. N., & Davis, D. (2019). Rape and the jury. In W. T. O'Donohue & P. A. Schewe (Eds.), Handbook of sexual assault and sexual assault prevention (pp. 551–571). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23645-8 33
- Smith, J. K., Liss, M., Erchull, M. J., Kelly, C. M., Adragna, K., & Baines, K. (2018). The relationship between sexualized appearance and perceptions of women's competence and electability. Sex Roles, 79(11–12), 671–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-018-0898-4
- Talbot, D. (2023). Beyond desirable in North America: An examination of actual and ideal body preferences, and body attractiveness preferences in a heterosexual sample of men and women from the USA. *Discover Psychology*, 3, 42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44202-023-00108-z
- Ward, L. M., Daniels, E. A., Zurbriggen, E. L., & Rosenscruggs, D. (2023). The sources and consequences of sexual objectification. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 2(8), 496–513. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-023-00192-x